

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2059
Title of the Manuscript:	Displacement Status and Welfare of Settlers in Population Resettlements: The case of Bakassi Resettlement Programme in Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic offers a specific case study that explores the complex issues surrounding population displacement and resettlement and the bakassi resettlement program provides a concrete example of the challenges faced by displaced population, making it suitable for indepth analysis in a book chapter	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the topic is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract is not comprehensive as it lacks some basic ingredients of an abstract like the method/approach, implication/conclusion, the main findings ofthe research shouldbe included in the abstract. The writer should use words like the , the writer recommends as---- -----,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sub sections and struction of the paper might not be okay as the paper does not have recommdations and the writer in the introduction talked so much on resettlement in the world but failed to address the main topic, which is the case of bakassi resettlement.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper might not be techenically sound as there are some gaps in the work like 1. What are the specific challenges and negative consequences faced by the Bakassi settlers in the resettlement program? 2.What measures are being taken to address the issues faced by displaced persons, including economic empowerment and provision of employment opportunities? 3.How effective have the resettlement programs been in ensuring the welfare and well-being of the displaced population in Nigeria and other regions?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references might not be suitable.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communication, the writer will need to improve on the quality of the language used for better understanding of readers as the work might not be easy to read and understand because the grammer is poor.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rita Abhavan Ngwoke
Department, University & Country	Igbinedion University, Nigeria