

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2064
Title of the Manuscript:	ProKnow: Process knowledge for safety constrained and explainable question generation for mental health diagnostic assistance
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	MANUSCRIPT WITH A CURRENT THEME AND OF RELEVANCE TO THE ACADEMIC COMMUNITY; IT IS WELL ORGANISED AND HAS A CURRENT THEME INVOLVING INFORMATION SYSTEMS THE MANUSCRIPT PROMOTES DISCUSSIONS ON MENTAL HEALTH AND OTHER CURRENT BEHAVIOURAL PROBLEMS	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES. SUGGESTION: IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE TITLE, JUST REDUCE THE NUMBER OF WORDS.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES. AGREED	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES. I AGREE	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	FOR PRESENTING A GOOD DISCUSSION ON THE SUBJECT; FOR UPDATING THE BIBLIOGRAPHY; THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE THEME AND THE DIRECT RELATIONSHIP WITH SOME BEHAVIOURAL DISORDERS.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	YES, I AGREE.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NEEDS A COMPLETE GRAMMATICAL OVERHAUL BEFORE THE FINAL VERSION.	
Optional/General comments	CONGRATULATE THE WORK. IT IS IN LINE WITH CURRENT REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF THE TOPIC. IT PROVIDES A GOOD DISCUSSION AND UPDATE OF THE TOPIC. THE LIMITATIONS RELATED TO STUDIES ON OTHER DISEASES SHOULD BE OVERCOME.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renato Mendes Dos Santos
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Piauí, Brazil