

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2089
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Investigation on Tribological and Mechanical Behaviour of Aluminium Joined with Alumina Ceramic
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am glad to see the authors performed a valuable experimental work on a characterization of Epoxy-based resin barrier joining of Alumina ceramics on Al 7075-T6 aluminium substrate materials.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is not. The authors were also performed different sets of experimental characterization for the samples that makes it more interesting for the readers. So this title doesn't address those mentioned details in its current form which is not suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is very poor organized and needs to be paraphrased.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are not well-designed and includes very lack of information regarding each of them. These parts whle need to be rewritten.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Those results presented in this present work needs additional experiments to allow the authors discuss the resultants how they performed in each characterization.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very poor references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very low English depicted throughout the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript has be rewritten then can be considered as a book chapter for this prestigious book.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Israfi IKucuk
Department, University & Country	Gebze Technical University, Turkey