

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2186
Title of the Manuscript:	Distributional pattern of different cell types with special emphasis on seasonal changes of gonadotrophs in the hypophysis of Indian river shad Gudusiachapra (Hamilton, 1822) in relation to ovarian maturity
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is important because it provides new insights into the relationship between cell structure and organ function in the context of the reproductive cycle. This research offers useful insights for the study of reproductive physiology and biology. I like this paper because of its systematic presentation and the use of appropriate methods for data analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is quite informative and describes the main focus of the study, namely the distribution and seasonal changes in gonadotroph cells in the pituitary of Gudusiachaprafish in relation to ovarian maturity. Suggestion: the title could be shorter while maintaining the main essence. For example, removing words such as “different cell types with special emphasis on” and replacing them with “Distributional and seasonal patterns of gonadotrophs in the hypophysis...”.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some sentences in the abstract are quite long and complex, which can be a bit difficult to understand. For example, the first sentence could be broken into two shorter, clear sentences. Also, the use of technical terms such as "GnRH" and "GSI" need to be explained briefly for audiences who may not be familiar with this terminology. Also, at the end of the abstract, include a brief statement of the main findings to provide an overview of the results of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please look at the manuscript text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please look at the manuscript text	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please look at the manuscript text	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angkasa Putra
Department, University & Country	Pukyong National University, Republic of Korea