

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2193
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Chronic Diseases on Attitudes towards Aging among the Elderly
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject matter of the manuscript has received little research attention over the years, despite aging being a global phenomenon. This manuscript addresses attitudes towards aging among the elderly in China and other regions, shedding light on an important but underexplored area of study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Considering that the article is a book chapter focusing on China, I find it suitable as it is. However, if it weren't explicitly part of a book about China, I would suggest adding "China" to the title to provide context.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and well-written. I made a few edits in the Results section. Please see the attached reviewed manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically correct. The author(s) have thoroughly reviewed the relevant literature, appropriately grounding their study in existing research. Additionally, the conclusions drawn aresupported by the findings, making this manuscript both scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/Generalcomments	Overall, this is a well-written manuscript that should be considered for inclusion as a book chapter.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bright Addo
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana