

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2195
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Cognition of Patients with Schizophrenia: Overview, Brain Mechanisms, Detection, and Intervention
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Very apt title in the case of schizophrenic patients. "Social Cognition of Patients with Schizophrenia: Overview, Brain Mechanisms, Detection, and Intervention," holds significant importance for the scientific community as it comprehensively reviews the complex interplay between social cognition deficits and schizophrenia. By integrating findings on brain mechanisms and offering insights into detection and intervention strategies, it provides a valuable resource for researchers and clinicians aiming to enhance understanding and treatment of this challenging aspect of the disorder. The thorough analysis and synthesis of current knowledge make it a crucial contribution to advancing both theoretical and practical approaches in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable but as the title indicates there are no information about the brain mechanisms and detection.It must be included or should avoid from the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	From the abstract there are no clear explanation of aim of this particular chapter. Method must includes the design of you study. For ex. It can be a systematic review, our a narrative review etc. Abstract must also include the real life application as the final sentacne	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Table must reuplaod with same font size of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are sufficient, but no doi or no link are given. It must be reworked according to the appropriate referencing style.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A major revision is needed. Quality of the language must be improved	
Optional/General comments	There are several assessment methods are recently developed by the researchers on social cognition. Still the author is not covered those areas. ESCoT is one of the newest test for assessing social cognition among patients with severe social cognitive impairment. It is not mentioned anywhere in the chapter. More reading and knowledge must be needed on this particular topic when you write an article before you submit it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leema Jacob
Department, University & Country	Christ University, India