

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2218
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Leadership Styles in Higher Education: A Transformational Leadership Study
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript makes an important contribution to the understanding of gender transformational leadership in the context of higher education. The aopted methodology includes the quantitative approach or a Likert-type scaling instrument toensur the reliability and validity of finding. The results have significant implications for higher education institutions, provide valuable insights for leadership development programs and efforts to foster inclusive and equitable learning environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title alignes with the main research objectives, questions and key findings.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and covers the expected key elements; however, the researcher could 1- provide a brief description of the research design 2-provide more specificity on the main findings (statistically) 3- mention how the study's insights can benefit leadership development programs.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is appropriate and well-organized The manuscript would be better if there were more details on the sample selection process. More demographic characteristics would be better. It would be useful to include the full instrument in an appendix. Visuals such as tables or figures facilitate presenting the key findings. Are there limitations of the study?	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study adopts a quantitative research approach, to compare gender leadership styles and characteristics in higher education. It uses a validated and reliable instrument, to measure the transformational leadership styles of female and male leaders.It provides a thorough literature review that situates the research within the existing body of knowledge. The research questions and hypotheses are clearly stated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references include several recent studies from 2011 to 2021 and cover a range of topics such as gender leadership, leadership styles, and the impact of leadership on organizational culture and student perceptions, suggesting a comprehensive theoretical framework of the study.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and quality of English used appears to be acceptable for the academic community	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript would be strengthened by expanding the discussion of practical implications and providing more recommendations. The paper could discuss challenges that could face leaders as is the case with organizational culture, resource constraints, or other contextual factors that may impact the ability to enact transformational leadership principles.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hmad Benaissa
Department, University & Country	Ibn Tofail University, Morocco