

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2218
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Leadership Styles in Higher Education: A Transformational Leadership Study
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of gender equality has been of public interest in recent years. It is advocated in a number of initiatives at national and international levels. Of particular interest are studies on gender equality in management positions. Therefore, I conclude that the topics of the article are current and of interest to the readers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Limitations and ideas for future work are not stated	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As a whole the chapter is well organized and writeten. Findings are supported with data. Reuslts are clearly presented in tables. I recommend the authors to state the limitations of their study in the conclusion and ideas for future studies in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The chapter can be accepted	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silvia Gaftandzhieva
Department, University & Country	University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria