

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2302
Title of the Manuscript:	Leading higher education transformation: The role of student affairs
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Abstract second line not grammatically correct. The aim.... 2. Study is basically in South Africa, the relevance to the other country is a question? 3. 16 leaders interviewed, is it a bench mark? 4. Abstract not reporting any method and results 5. Very very old references will not lead to anything 6. Figure 1 13 response not enough to decide anything 7. Figure 2 is it from reference, where is citation in the text 8. Page 7 author mentioned "I outlined" is the Figure 2 is authors work? 9. So many singular "I" which is not acceptable in a manuscript. Second there is no co author.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sendilvelan Subramanian
Department, University & Country	Dr. M.G.R Educational and Research Institute, India