

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2310
Title of the Manuscript:	Spillover effect between futures and spot markets in China
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper addresses a topic that has been examined many times over the past forty years. The (modest) contribution of this paper is that it examines markets that have not been examined previously. Inasmuch as there is no reason (or, to be more precise, no reason given) why we would expect different results for China than for other markets (eg. are there institutional differences?), I view the contribution as modest.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No additions or deletions needed here.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, a standard structure is used.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an empirical paper, so one can only assume there are no errors in the data or the analysis. The methodology used is appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are fine. One thing I would prefer though is to see the authors' names (followed by the reference number, or, alternatively, the references could be listed alphabetically) included in the text. Flipping back and forth between the text and the Bibliography to check on the references shouldn't be necessary.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Genreally, the exposition is quite good. There are a few grammatical lapses and awkward phrases that should be corrected. I would send the paper to an English language copyeditor for review.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Trevor W Chamberlain
Department, University & Country	Mc Master University, Canada