

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2330
Title of the Manuscript:	American Strategic Leadership (ASL): Case of Public Moroccan Universities
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it applies strategic leadership concepts to Moroccan public universities, offering a fresh perspective on adapting leadership theories to different cultural contexts. Its findings provide valuable insights into leadership challenges and competencies specific to this setting. By combining theoretical frameworks with practical analysis, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of effective leadership in higher education. This makes the manuscript a useful resource for researchers and practitioners aiming to improve leadership practices in similar contexts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive, but it could benefit from the following enhancements like specific findings, methodological detail, as well as practical implications.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust due to its use of a well-structured qualitative methodology, including validated questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. The application of post-positivist epistemology and hypothetical-deductive reasoning adds depth and rigor to the analysis. Additionally, integrating American leadership concepts with empirical data from Moroccan universities ensures a comprehensive and contextually relevant evaluation. These factors collectively reinforce the manuscript's scientific and technical validity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Ensure consistent formatting for all references, including proper use of italics and punctuation. For example, titles of books and journals should be italicized, while article titles should be in sentence case. Verify that all references include complete details, such as publication years and page numbers where necessary. Ensure that all references are cited correctly and consistently follow the same citation style. For instance, some references have inconsistent formats or missing details.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angkasa Putra
Department, University & Country	Pukyong National University, Republic of Korea