

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2343
Title of the Manuscript:	The Discussion on the Airport Privatization in India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Airway transport has now become essential even for middle class income group people. Knowing the governance and regulations of airport will help the passengers in a better way. So, the Author’s topic of interest is relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I feel the following title will be better: “Challenges of Airport Privatization in India”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The following correction may be noted: The sentence, “The increment of air passengers, aircraft movement, and customs has resulted in the urgency to develop and upgrade airport services.” , may be changed as, “The increment of air passengers, aircraft movement, and customs have resulted in the urgency to develop and upgrade airport services.”	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes but some sections need revision/restructuring 1. Section “6.0Privatization of International Airports and its Characteristics (1999-2006)” ; All other sections just have 1, 2, 3 etc. Likewise this can also be changed as 6 instead of 6.0 2. The subsequent next section is 6.2; it should be actually 6.1. So arrange the subsections with uniformity. 3. Conclusion section is also has the number 6. It can be changed appropriately.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author might have had a comparison on few critical factors before and after privatization: For instance, the number of passengers, comfort levels, wait time, use of technology for boarding pass, luggage checking, security checking etc. Also, the author have not detailed the polices by the private participants in case of any accidents or sudden cancellation of flights.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The Table 1 and Table 4 are not cited inside the text. 2. Also, both the tables with titles, “The current situation of airport governance” & “First Phase of Airport Privatization” are assigned the same number (Table 3). The mentioned tables and the subsequent tables may be numbered correctly in sequence. Also, their reference in the text may be changed accordingly. 3. As already pointed, the contingencies happening and the policies adopted may be discussed. 4. Author may provide suitable suggestion for such instances if any.	
Optional/General comments	Paper may be considered for publication after addressing the comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Thangalakshmi
Department, University & Country	School of Marine Engineering and Technology, Indian Maritime University, India