

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2345
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of metastatic cutaneous deposit from Malignant Tumors
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the critical role of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) in the early diagnosis of cutaneous metastasis from various primary malignancies. By providing a detailed analysis of 12 cases with diverse primary cancers, the study underscores FNAC's utility in identifying metastatic lesions, which often precede the diagnosis of the primary tumor or its recurrence. This work contributes valuable insights into the diagnostic process, offering a cost-effective and minimally invasive tool for clinicians to promptly manage and treat metastatic skin deposits. The comprehensive data and case studies presented offer practical guidance for enhancing diagnostic accuracy and improving patient outcomes, making this manuscript a valuable resource for both pathologists and oncologists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article could be more specific to better reflect the content and focus of the study. As it stands, the title "Evaluation of Metastatic Cutaneous Deposit from Malignant Tumors" is somewhat broad and could benefit from greater precision.Here are a few alternative titles that might better capture the essence of the study: "Diagnostic Utility of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology in Cutaneous Metastases from Various Primary Malignancies" "Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology for Early Detection of Cutaneous Metastases: Insights from a Tertiary Care Center" "Evaluating Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology in the Diagnosis of Cutaneous Metastases from Diverse Primary Cancers"	

Review Form2

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a solid overview of the study, but it could benefit from additional clarity and detail to enhance its comprehensiveness. Purpose of the Study: - Clearly state the specific objectives of the study. For example: "This study aims to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) in identifying cutaneous metastatic deposits and determining their primary malignancies." Key Findings: - Include a brief summary of the key findings. For instance: "The study identified cutaneous metastases from various primary malignancies, including lung, gastrointestinal tract, thyroid, and breast cancers. FNAC proved effective in diagnosing these lesions and facilitating early detection of the primary malignancy." Implications: - Highlight the implications of the findings. For example: "The results underscore the importance of FNAC as a rapid, cost-effective, and minimally invasive diagnostic tool that can aid in the early recognition and management of metastatic skin lesions."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections of the manuscript appear largely appropriate for a study of this nature, but there are some areas where clarity and organization could be improved. ☐ Introduction: - Consider combining the Aims and Objectives with the Introduction. This would make the purpose of the study clearer from the outset and create a more cohesive narrative. ☐ Materials and Methods: - Break this section into subheadings, such as "Study Design," "Patient Selection," "FNAC Procedure," "Histopathology Confirmation," and "Statistical Analysis." This will enhance readability and allow readers to easily locate specific details. ☐ Results: - Summarize the overall findings in a brief paragraph before diving into case-specific details. Consider using subheadings like "Lung Carcinoma," "Gastrointestinal Tract Carcinoma," "Thyroid Carcinoma," etc., to organize the results. ☐ Discussion: - Structure the discussion by addressing key themes or findings, such as "Diagnostic Efficacy of FNAC," "Clinical Implications," "Comparison with Existing Literature," and "Study Limitations." This will make the discussion more organized and easier to follow. ☐ Conclusion: - Include specific recommendations for clinical practice or future research based on the study's findings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology and comprehensive analysis of cutaneous metastatic deposits. The use of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) as a diagnostic tool is well-supported by the study's results, which are corroborated with histopathological findings to ensure accuracy. The detailed case descriptions and systematic approach to evaluating various primary malignancies underscore the reliability of the diagnostic process. Furthermore, the manuscript adheres to established diagnostic criteria and provides a thorough comparison with existing literature, reinforcing its validity and contribution to the field of oncology and diagnostic cytology.	

Review Form2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are occasional grammatical errors and awkward phrasings. Ensure consistent use of terminology and style throughout the manuscript. For instance, the use of "Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC)" should be consistent in abbreviation and phrasing. Proper formatting of headings, subheadings, and sections can enhance readability. A thorough proofreading process is recommended to correct grammatical errors and ensure that the manuscript adheres to academic writing standards.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishani Gupta
Department, University & Country	India