

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2377
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Prospects for Integrating Superior Cocoa Clones into People's Coconut Plantation Systems: Evidence from North Sulawesi, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper under peer review presents the economic potential of integrating cocoa clones in coconut plantation. It presents a ready reference package for those who are working with coconut and integrating other commodities including cocoa. The paper is commendable for its purpose.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The researcher may consider the following suggestions for the abstract: For clarity the researcher may rewrite the first sentence of the abstract as..."Researches show that the potential economic value of coconut could be able to produce more than 10 processed products, but the current condition of coconut farmers' income derived from coconut is very low beacause they are limited to the production of primary product like copra or coconut granules that usually has low economic value. The researcher may add this to the the second sentecnce after the word <u>farming unit</u>..."however, available technologies offer techniques to increase income of farmers per unit area under the coconut trees like intercropping of other commodities like Cocoa." The 4thand 5th sentences could be written as..."This paper presents the potential of available resources to increase the income of coconut farmers integrating cocoa plant in their coconut plantation areas. The method used in writing this paper is through review and quootation of several articles and results of previous studies conducted for the development of cocoa farming in coconut platation areas." The 6thsentecnce could be written as..."The implementation or integration of superior clone cocoa farming in coconut areas is a promising technology to increase income of coconut farmers beacause cloned cocoa began to bear fruits within 2 to 3 years and optimum yields after 4 years."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	

Review Form2

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper includes discussions on the technical and economic aspects of coconut farming and the economic potentials of integrating cocoa in coconut plantations and their impacts on coconut farmers' economic status. It also presents the role of farmers as technology implementers/adopters and the general challenges on the effective dissemination of the technology among coconut farmers to adopt despite its potential economic benefits. However, it presents alternative solutions to identified challenges in order to realize the success of integrating cocoa in coconut farms.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references included are sufficient, however, there are references included that need updating like [8], [11], [12], [13] and others. These references should be discarded and replaced with more updated references especially so that they present and share information or data that changes with time.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but with minor revisions for clarity	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shirly Agcaoili
Department, University & Country	Cagayan State University, Philippines