

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2382
Title of the Manuscript:	A project based on Inclusive Education (Greece and Cyprus). A brief report
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it introduces new perspectives on Inclusive Education. By addressing gaps in existing research, offering innovative methodologies, the manuscript helps to shape future research directions. Its contribution can lead to a deeper understanding of inclusive system, inspire further studies, and potentially influence practical applications or policy decisions in the field of Inclusivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the programme looks quite general in nature. It can be more attractive by adding more research variables. Example: "Cultural, Social, and Educational Dimensions of Inclusive Education in Greece and Cyprus: A Comparative Report" "Navigating Challenges and Successes: A Comprehensive Study of Inclusive Education in Greece and Cyprus" "Fostering Diversity and Equity: Inclusive Education Policies and Practices in Greece and Cyprus"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. Some of the grammatical mistakes are there which can be rectified. The abstract can be written in running/clubbed format, instead of specifically mentioning Introduction, Objective, Method and Results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study demonstrates a rigorous research design, appropriate methodology, and thorough data analysis. The robustness of this study stems from its clear articulation of research questions, the use of well-established and innovative methods to address these questions, and the careful consideration of variables. The present study provides a detailed description of the procedures, justifies the choice of techniques, and includes a comprehensive analysis that supports the conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes, but proof-reading is required before the publication of this article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The present study should be more elaborative in writings, since the present project is a Post-doctoral project, the researcher can write this paper in more elaborative way by adding additional section such as Data Analysis and Interpretations, Major Findings, Implications of the Study, Discussion & Conclusion. The researcher can also elaborate about the population and sampling procedure, selection of the research instruments, Reliability and Validity of the Instruments etc. The Rationale of the study should be more elaborative in writings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amlesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	India