

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2414
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influences of Pre-testing Reviews and Delays on Differential-associative Processing versus A Condition in which Students chose their Learning Strategy
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- The concept of differential-associative processing is good but does this pertain to only definitions or anything else. - How best this can be implemented in other fields can be explained in detail - What are the benefits of the same when we compare with the other can be explained in detail	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	- In the title a condition is given but can mention what is that condition	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- The results can be discussed in short so that any one who reads the abstract can know the importance of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	- Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- This manuscript covers all the points needed for the presentation - The following can be included - How did the randomisation of the students done, what is the method used for randomisation - Sample size is not mentioned - Time for answering each question is to be limited - Use of flow charts wherever possible in the draft makes the reading easy and understandable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	- yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	- Good presentation but minnow corrections and additions needed - Sample size calculation can be included - The questionnaire used is validated or not . if validated what is the method of validation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mrudula Chandrupatla
Department, University & Country	AIIMS, India