

Review Form2

S

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2417
Title of the Manuscript:	Predicting College Success: The Relative Contributions of Five Social/Personality Factors, Five Cognitive/Learning Factors, and SAT Scores
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Themanuscript exploresasignificantareaofeducationalpsychology:therelativecontributionsof various social/personalityandcognitive/learning factors,alongwithSAT scores,inpredictingcollegeGPA.The study'sfocus on bothfreshmenandnon-freshmenaddsdepth, and theuse ofmultiplepredictorsisaan extraordinary strength. However,there areareaswherethe manuscript couldbenefitfromfurther clarificationand refinement.Belowisa detailed review ofthemanuscript's keysections:	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Strengths:Theintroduction effectivelysetup thestudywithinexisting research andhighlightsthegapit aimstoaddress.Thejustification forexaminingbothsocial/personalityandcognitive/learningfactorsis well-articulated. AreasforImprovement:Thereisaminortypointhefirstparagraphwhere"serf-efficacy" shouldbe correctedto"self-efficacy.Theintroductioncouldbenefitfromaclearerandmoreconciseoverviewofthe study's hypotheses.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Strengths:Theabstractneatlysummarizes thekeyfindingsandimplicationsofthe study.It clearly identifies themainpredictorsofGPA for both freshmen andnon-freshmen. AreasforImprovement:The term"high-knowledgeintegration"isnotimmediatelyclear.Providingabrief explanation orrephrasingcouldenhanceclarity.Additionally,theabstractcouldbenefitfromabrief mentionof methodologicalapproaches toprovide context.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Strengths:Themethodologysection isdetailedandthorough,withcleardescriptions oftheparticipants, measures,and procedures.Theuse ofcompositescoresand factoranalysisisappropriateandwell-explained.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Strengths:Theresultsarepresentedclearly,withappropriatestatistical analyses.Thedifferentiation between freshmen andnon-freshmen intermsof predictor variables isinsightful. Strengths:Thediscussioneffectively interpretsthefindingsandrelatesthemtoexistingliterature.The manuscripthighlightsthe practical implications oftheresults andsuggestsdirections for future research. AreasforImprovement:Thediscussioncouldbemorebalancedinaddressing boththestrengthsand limitationsofthestudy.Thereisaneedforamorecriticalevaluationofhowthestudy'sfindingscompare withpreviousresearch.Furthermore,thediscussion shouldaddressthepotentialimpact ofthestudy's limitations onitsfindings. Strengths:Thediscussioneffectively interpretsthefindingsandrelatesthemtoexistingliterature.The manuscripthighlightsthe practical implications oftheresults andsuggestsdirections for future research. AreasforImprovement:Thediscussioncouldbemorebalancedinaddressing boththestrengthsand limitationsofthestudy.Thereisaneedforamorecriticalevaluationofhowthestudy'sfindingscompare	

Review Form2

	withpreviousresearch.Furthermore,thediscussion shouldaddressthepotentialimpact ofthestudy's limitations onitsfindings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ammara Asif
Department, University & Country	United Arab Emirates