

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2421
Title of the Manuscript:	To Study the Clinical Efficacy and Safety of Remdesivir in Hospitalized Adult Patients with Moderate-to-Severe COVID-19 Disease in ICU of a Tertiary Center in Bihar
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review gives the importance of the early treatment in the COVID, where it was showing the decreasing CRP protein and other inflammatory marker. The important point was the 88% success rate with the remdesivir and other ICMR prescribed drug.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In the title "Adult" is written however the patient were taken 19-80, as per knowledge the adult age range is 19-65, if possible add age range in the the bracket.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is acceptable, well written with sufficient informations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need of to add some sentences regarding the study, while the study "COVID -----placebo. ^{6,7} have been done. Moreover, the Section and subsections are ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has included all possible parameter to show the effectiveness, the sample bifurcation is suitable for study, especially the demographic data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All belongs to 2020, need latest refernces, which will show the current status of remdesivir, may be it is effective alone or new combination is suitable, so must add new refernces.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and flow of work is ok, few below questions need to be answered ... It is suitable for communication.	
Optional/General comments	1) If the treatment of drug is for 5 days, why the stay of patient is for 15 days, were the drug was stopped, if then why patient was in the ICU/hospital 2) Cause of deth of 12 patient was their comorbidity or covid alone	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyank Purohit
Department, University & Country	Graphic Era Hill University, India