

[Review Form2](#)

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Book Name: | Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BPR_2447 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Polymorphisms of the angiotensin converting enzyme gene in Iranian Azeri Turkish women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss |
| Type of the Article | Book chapter |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The idea of the research is good due to the importance of the disease cases and the possibility of benefiting from the gene polymorphism of ACE in diagnosing cases early, but at the same time the lack of significant results may be due to the small number of samples used in the study. As well as the multiplicity of nationalities of the cases taken. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | yes | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is considered scientifically good due to the use of PCR technology and electrophoresis band images in gels, as well as the accuracy of the sequence of primers after I checked them. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | I suggest updating the sources because most of them are old and because there are recent studies that may be important for adding new ideas to the manuscript. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Ammar Basim Al-Asadi |
| Department, University & Country | Ibn Sina University of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Iraq |