

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2447
Title of the Manuscript:	Polymorphisms of the angiotensin converting enzyme gene in Iranian Azeri Turkish women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	During the COVID-19 pandemic, the ACE gene, particularly ACE2, played a crucial role. My thesis focused on exploring ACE2 gene polymorphisms and their impact on COVID-19. The investigation of these gene polymorphisms in women experiencing recurrent miscarriages was particularly intriguing and novel to me.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Investigation and study of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme (ACE) gene polymorphism in Iranian (Turkish-Azeri) women with Recurrent Pregnancy Loss (RPL)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should provide a concise summary of the study on the relationship between the PRL and ACE genes. It is crucial to include key findings and implications of the research. Additionally, expanding the abstract to around 250 words and adding a few more keywords will enhance the clarity and comprehensiveness of the summary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The structure of the scientific article in this manuscript is well organized with a clear continuity in the text. The study includes an appropriate number of participants grouped effectively, and the tests conducted, such as karyotype, proteins C and S, also antithrombin III are relevant to the scientific inquiry. The DNA extraction method and PCR steps are clearly explained. The analysis is thorough, and the inclusion of a photo of the electrophoresis gel adds significant value to validate the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Most of the sources cited in this article are from the period between 1980 and 2002. To enhance the credibility and relevance of the content, it is recommended to include more recent sources published from 2015 onwards. This update will not only improve the quality of the article but also increase its potential for citations and readership. (At least 5-8 items)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article requires native English editing to ensure its accuracy and scientific credibility.	
Optional/General comments	By addressing the mentioned points, the validity and value of this article will be maintained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fereshteh Khalilzadeh
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran