

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2454
Title of the Manuscript:	Moderation of Managerial skills on Monitoring and Evaluation(M&E) and Project successes in Teachers' colleges in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it explores the often-overlooked aspect of managerial skills in the context of educational projects in Tanzanian teacher colleges. The study's focus on the moderating effects of these skills on M&E practices is both relevant and timely. I particularly like this manuscript because it fills a research gap by linking managerial competencies directly to project success, offering valuable insights for enhancing educational project outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, but it could be more precise. Suggested alternative: "The Moderating Role of Managerial Skills on Monitoring and Evaluation Practices and Project Success in Tanzanian Teacher Colleges."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive but could benefit from greater clarity. Specifically, the hypothesis and key results could be stated more explicitly to aid in quick understanding. Additionally, the abstract could be restructured to better align with the IMRAD format.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure is well-organized, and the subsections are appropriate for the study. However, the literature review could be expanded to include more recent studies on the integration of managerial skills in project management, particularly in the context of developing countries.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The use of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) and the mixed-methods approach strengthens the validity of the findings. The study's design and methodology are appropriate, and the statistical analysis is thorough. However, more detailed explanation on the rationale behind the selection of the variables in the SEM would enhance the manuscript's clarity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and recent, though the inclusion of more contemporary sources from 2020 onwards would improve the manuscript's relevance.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are minor grammatical errors and typographical mistakes (e.g., "Ministerrial" should be "Ministerial," "hypothechs sis" should be "hypothesis") that need correction.	
Optional/General comments	The study is well-conducted and provides valuable insights. Consider expanding the discussion section to include implications of the findings for policy-making in the educational sector.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arkar Htet
Department, University & Country	Mullion Façade Engineering School, Myanmar