

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2454
Title of the Manuscript:	Moderation of Managerial skills on Monitoring and Evaluation(M&E) and Project successes in Teachers' colleges in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant as it examines how managerial skills moderate the relationship between monitoring and evaluation (M&E) practices and project success in Tanzanian teachers' colleges. Using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), it provides empirical evidence on the impact of managerial competencies on project outcomes. The study's rigorous approach and practical insights are valuable, though the complex interaction found suggests that further research is needed to fully understand these dynamics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The titleis suitable as it clearly and specifically reflects the study's focus on how managerial skills impact the relationship between M&E practices and project success in a particular educational setting.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear summary of the research objectives, methods, findings, and implications, making it suitable for understanding the study's scope and significance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Each sectionand structure is well-developed and appropriate. Each part of the manuscript builds on the previous sections to provide a coherent and comprehensive presentation of the research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust due to its use of Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) to analyze the moderation effects of managerial skills on the relationship between M&E practices and project success. The study's comprehensive methodology, including a census of public teacher colleges and key informants, enhances the validity and reliability of its findings. The clear statistical results and well-supported practical recommendations demonstrate a thorough approach to addressing the research problem.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references listed are comprehensive and include a range of relevant sources, though some are not recent. They cover various aspects of project management, monitoring and evaluation (M&E), and managerial skills, which are pertinent to the study.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality are likely suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the paper is well-written, and I strongly recommend its acceptance for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Dumbuya
Department, University & Country	Njala University, Sierra Leone