

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2572
Title of the Manuscript:	Onshore Pipeline Leaks from a Cathodic Protection Perspective
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Onshore Pipeline Leaks from a Cathodic Protection Perspective This chapter provides This chapter provides an in-depth analysis of onshore pipeline leaks from the perspective of cathodic protection. Each section outlines essential inspection and maintenance practices specifically aimed at addressing leaks in onshore pipelines. Minor Correction Suggestions: 1. In Figure 7 (page 9), the red and green circles are redundant. The original project and current events are already differentiated using red and green dots. 2. Since this is part of a book chapter, I suggest the authors include analytical results in the form of tables or figures to support the proposed pipeline safety investigation. 3. Where possible, include a comparison with previous research findings that are relevant to the results of this study. This would help provide additional context and validation for the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yigzew Fitsum Emagnenehe
Department, University & Country	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea