

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	LABLAB BEAN (Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet) IN INDONESIA : Crop and Super Food, Potential for Soybean Substitute and Industry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_6493C
Title of the Manuscript:	LABLAB BEAN (Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet) IN INDONESIA : Crop and Super Food, Potential for Soybean Substitute and Industry
Type of the Article	Complete book

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Fair The beans are potentials yet the availability in the farmers is very rare, it is endangering species, compared to those 50 years ago, the beans are part of commoners' daily menus	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, it fits the current healthy food trends to cope degenerative diseases	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	sufficient; just that the data are written in Indonesian numbering system; decimals should be (.) not (,), e.g., one and half : 1.5 √ whereas 1,5 X. I fixed several tables as examples to encourage the authors work properly	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	it is aproriate: (1) pointing to Food Law in Indonesia, (2) the fact Indonesian high varities of legumes, then (3) based on nutritional values that are compared to other legumes, and further (4) going to policy makers and prospect of substituting the soybean, which is continuously less accessibility and availability in Indonesia due to it is imported, but also the use of other non-food industries.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Several references are lacking of scientific clues. I recommend the authors to cite better quality of literatures that are abundantly available on web. Several references cited in the text are missing, not listed in the reference list. Anonymous must be as minimum as possible or select a better and update one. Alodoc web or halodoc web or other "wild" links need to be removed and replaced with better literature quality Please, reduce the use of secondary literatures. Many links in the reference list cannot be reached.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Epriliati
Department, University & Country	Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Indonesia