

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>DISCUSSING INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT AND IMPACT ON MENTAL HEALTH IN ZAMBIA</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1380
Title of the Manuscript:	DISCUSSING INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT AND IMPACT ON MENTAL HEALTH IN ZAMBIA
Type of the Article	Complete Book

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	It could be beneficial for the healthcare provider community	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Hope the title is suitable for the article discussed	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Acceptable level	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	➤ The manuscript provides a comprehensive view on the topic. However, the arguments could be more impactful with a clearer and more concise structure. Consider restructuring sections to flow logically from identifying the problem, discussing the evidence, and concluding with specific recommendations. ➤ Ensure consistency in the use of key terms throughout the manuscript. The term "physical infrastructure" is central to your analysis; a consistent and clear definition from the outset will help in aligning the readers with your arguments. ➤ While the manuscript pulls from a variety of sources, the credibility and relevance of these sources are not always apparent. Strengthen your argument by citing recent, peer-reviewed studies or official statistics where possible, and clearly state how each source contributes to your analysis. ➤ The manuscript would benefit from more quantitative data to support claims. For example, data showing the correlation between infrastructure investments and mental health outcomes in Zambia could be very persuasive. ➤ Including one or two case studies from within Zambia or similar contexts could illustrate the real-world implications of infrastructure deficits on mental health. This would provide a concrete basis for your theoretical discussion. ➤ The recommendations section is valuable but could be expanded. Specific, actionable policy recommendations, possibly broken down into short-term and long-term strategies, would be practical for policymakers and stakeholders. ➤ The discussion on integration with the broader health system is good but needs deeper analysis. How does mental health infrastructure specifically interact with other health and social services? What models or frameworks support this integration effectively? ➤ Consider including graphs, tables, or infographics to summarize data and make complex information more accessible and engaging. Visual aids can highlight key points and trends effectively. ➤ The concluding section should succinctly summarize the main findings and underscore the urgency of addressing the infrastructure gaps. A strong closing argument can reinforce the importance of the issue and the need for action. . Overall, the manuscript tackles a critical issue with potential for significant impact. Enhancing the structure, supporting evidence, and practical applications of your findings will make it a strong candidate for publication and a useful resource for	

BPI Review Form 070520

	stakeholders in Zambia health sector	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, the reference style needs to be considered and should follow the standard reference style format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Krishnamoorthy
Department, University & Country	Sanjivani College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India