

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1800
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey on Production, Marketing and Consumption of Gundpak: A Traditional Khoa Based Milk Product of Nepal
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is well written article on traditional dairy products of Nepal. This article helps the researcher/scientific community to plan further research in such type of product.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes (slight modification needed)	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article deals with the survey on Production, Marketing and Consumption of Gundpak and accordingly survey methodology also written in Materials and Methods section.The section of “Proximate composition of Gundpak, History and nomenclature of Gundpak,Definition of Gundpak and its cultural importance,Classification of Gundpak” are the introductory part of the article not the part of Results and Discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :-	No, some recent references may be incorporated.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	This article may be accepted for publication after minor modification. Some recent references may be incorporated to improve the quality of article. Page 44: “According to the PFA rules (1976).....” Currently, PFA rules not exist, it replaces with FSSAI.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suryamani Kumar
Department, University & Country	Sanjay Gandhi Institute of Dairy Technology, Bihar Animal Sciences University, India