

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1860
Title of the Manuscript:	Smallholder Farmers' Perceptions towards Agricultural Credit in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript carry a big importance to community as it is concerned about the perception of smallholder farmers' towards agricultural credit in Tanzania. As credit is most important farmers as credit is required at all stages of farming, whether it is inputs, land preparation, marketing or transportation. Small farmers have small capacity for credit and that is why they need credit in every stage of farming. So, manuscript is important for the farmers community and even for input providers, intermediaries, marketers etc. to take pro active decisions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the manuscript is suitable. It clearly explains that what is the content of the manuscript and what it is all about and what readers can expect from the manuscript. The title is short, precise and the representative one.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. I would like to suggest some points. 1. Year of the study conducted should always be mentioned in the abstract. 2. As the article is already published, the abstract should be modified as per the expandable form of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all the sections of the manuscript are appropriate and manuscript is prepared in relevant and presentable form which includes a suitable and clear title, comprehensive abstract, introduction, material and methods explaining study area, sampling method, sources of data and collection procedures and how the analysis done, discussion of results, conclusion and the references. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. There is suggestion to add more citation of previous studies from year 2021-2024 that matches and supports or contradicts the result of present study. It will help in improving the quality and authenticity of the present study.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it is prepared in a well structured and reprentable form. The topic of research is well choosen and need of hour for the small holder farmers. It presents all the subsections of the manuscript in a very well precise and concrete way. There is one suggestion to modified the manuscript in a more expandable way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	References should always be in the same format, so please update same format i.e either follow upper case for first letter of all words of title or only first letter of first word of title. Please add more references and citation and it will be better, if, recent publications from 2021-2024 can be included. It will authenticate the manuscript and as a result it will be modified.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The simple and understable English is used by authors.	
Optional/General comments	Here are some suggestions to extend this article: • Add more comprehensive introduction with background information and additional literature review to establish significance of the manuscript. • Add literature review section by incorporating more recent research demonstrating comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. • Provide more details about methodology explaining process, techniques, tools used to collect and analyze data. • Elaborate results presented in original paper by providing additional analysis, interpretation and evaluation and connect results back to research question and objective. Add more recent references to ensure updation of chapter. Cite recent important studies that were not included in original paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anamika
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Sciences, G D Goenka University, India