

Review Form2

|                          |                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Book Name:               | Innovations in Biological Science                                               |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BPR_1897                                                                     |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Wild Edible Plants (WEPs), Their Importance, Possible Threats, and Conservation |
| Type of the Article      | Book chapter                                                                    |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments                                                                                                                                                                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                          | WEP research is limited in the universal context; many organizations are spending millions/trillions to find out the utility and support development agencies. This paper has not revealed any specific findings of any specific spices of WEP, like asparagus and many Indigenous plants are a part of research in the present context and millions of studies in Q1-Q4 are searching specification and indexing of WEP, this paper is not relevant of sharing or referring any findings or model replication in the scientific societies.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                             |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)                                                                                                                                        | The title is not suitable and it needs to expand contextual-wise. Specification of practice, trend, or evaluation-based study is not clear???? So, this is important to clarify, what type of chapter it is. It needs to be specific.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                             |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.                                                                   | Abstract is poorly organized many questions arouses? how WEP and global Food security managed? Food system and food security both are different things but the article is misleading and this is not appropriate for the readers because the food security and food system difference too many dimension, like food system, is from plantation to the distribution journey and Food Security is 4 pillars, so how WEP is aligning here? Again Nutrition and livelihood are associated with each factor this is showing the incompetencies of the abstract. Nutrition is another aspects of outcome indicators of nutrition so how this is linked with WEP??? The specification of WEP is missing so it must need to include in Summary or ABSTRACT this is missing.<br>The abstract does not cover methods and study area, it also not include the importance and objective of this paper. The conclusion is missing and the findings are baseless and not matching with the title and result sections. |                                                                                                                                                             |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?                                                                                                                                                              | No, it is mismaticed and very honest to say that this is not a scientific paper and seems like a graduate students has written.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                             |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The word“their” is social words and mostly applying here, this is not a scientificl terms in the WEP study.<br>To make it technically sound, it needed a rigorous review and imperical notes.<br>The research design is missing, theterminologyismissing and this is not a scientificl paper insted a paper that somehow published without any review and now thisneed a severe revision or REJECTION.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                             |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br>=                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                             |

Review Form2

|                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Minor REVISION comments                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Extremely Poor English                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| Optional/General comments                                                         | <p>Methodology comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Later, the collected resource material was analyzed and interpreted critically and thematically presented in this mini-review:- How and what have auther done to analyse, did he used qualitative softwares, any coding, or meta analysis, this word is hiding the methods.</li><li>2) The related articles published in different databases were selected on the basis of their titles, abstracts, and text focusing on the reasons to include the reference in the present article and later screened by reading the full text and removing the references or part of it which do not meet the criteria of inclusion: why annexes not included, How many papers were reviewed and what is the relevency of thepapers? Which year data and what is themethodology of selection criteria for such article? what was the bases of selection is not mentioned.</li></ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Did anyone see <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: In article either results or Findings are mentioned???? This correction sgpws that the paper level is poor and need a rejection or serious editing or tranfered to another journal.</b></li><li>2. In result section:- The figure-frame and data does not relevent with analysis as the sources are not adequate and it is not shows the relevency of the findings. The data and findings are not relevent and if this is secondary analysis then a clear sub themes or variable need to mentioned, like analysis through regional, National or Global context.</li></ol> |  |

PART 2:

|                                              |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                              |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                                    |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Raju Chhetri                                       |
| Department, University & Country | Srinivas University, India, Hetauda College, Nepal |