

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences - Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2009
Title of the Manuscript:	Corrosion properties for roll-bonded one-layer AA4045/AA3003 for heat exchanger application
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript play a important role in reducing corrosion problem of heat exchange. Researchers are searching suitable amaterial for reducing such type of problem which is the main focus in the current paper and for this aluminium alloy is selected due to light weight and popularly used. To understand the role AA4045/AA3003 to reducing corrosion problem is helpful for researcher those who working in same area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract section provide some numerical results so as to validate the present study with experimental outcomes. If possible, restructure the abstract with only relevant information.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1-List of contribution should be added to the introduction part. 2. In the Introduction section present the research gaps of the study. 3. In introduction section, follow proper format by initially explaining background of the research. It is recommended to rewrite the introduction section. 4. The conclusion is not precise. The key findings and its implementation potential (in practice) is missing. Clearly, identify its academic contributions also. 5. Provide a separate validation section for justifying the results and State a few limitations of the present work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	All references should be changed by latest 5 years of relevant research and it is mandatory to mention their citations in introduction paper. Reference section should be prepared as the journal style (Add DOI number/ publication URL for each reference)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Tiwari
Department, University & Country	Suresh Gyan Vihar Universtiy, India