

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences -Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2011
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Natural Composites Matoa Tree Wood as the Base Material for Eco-Friendly House Piles using Ansys
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors attempted an environmental friendly composites is apreciated. Performed significant numerical simulation to determine the strength of the composite.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable. Numerical study on the strength characteristics of Matoa tree wood natural composites	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The governing equations employed are acceptable. In future it is recommended to compare the experimental results with numeral results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and adequate	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More language polishing will aid the article to reach the audience better	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Saravanan
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India