

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2018
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of epithelial mesenchymal transition in oral squamous cell carcinoma – experience in a tertiary care center
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presents a comprehensive study on the clinical and pathological aspects of OSCC. It highlights significant correlations between the expression of key biomarkers and the progression of the disease, providing valuable insights for prognosis and treatment strategies. The demographic and risk factor data underscore the importance of targeted public health interventions, particularly in regions with high tobacco use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Clinicopathological Correlations of E-Cadherin, Vimentin, and EGFR in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract begins directly with aims & objectives of the study. Background is missing. In materials & methods Clarify if there were any specific hypotheses tested in the study, such as expected patterns of expression or correlations with clinical outcomes. The methods section could be slightly expanded to provide more clarity on the study design. In results - Consider including specific data or statistical significance (e.g., p-values) to support the statements about the expression patterns and correlations. This would add rigor to the presented results. Conclusion : Strengthen the conclusion by briefly discussing the potential clinical applications of these findings, such as their use in prognosis or therapeutic targeting. Mentioning any limitations of the study and directions for future research could also add depth. Keywords should be arranged alphabetically	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction is too long and should be clearly mentioned with subsections. Materials and methods section can be elaborated further In results few of the tables and figures can be merged.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorouscomprehensive data analysis, clear presentation, and contextual relevance. These elements collectively ensure the reliability and validity of the study's conclusions. The materials & methods section can be improved further.	

Review Form2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	General formatting of the manuscript is required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishani Gupta
Department, University & Country	AIIMS, India