

Review Form2

Book Name:	HerbaSideritis: a promising adaptogen with the potential to reduce the risk of age-related cognitive decline and neurodegenerative disorders
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2029
Title of the Manuscript:	HerbaSideritis: a promising adaptogen with the potential to reduce the risk of age-related cognitive decline and neurodegenerative disorders
Type of the Article	Monograph

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study related to plant-derived constituents may lead to the discovery of new molecules, which may have less adverse effects and be more accurate than the existing drug available for the same treatment. This research review described a general introduction, phytoconstituent structure, morphology, periclinal study, and clinical study. Hence, you have been further proven how HerbaSideritis acts and reduces the complications in age-related cognitive and neurodegenerative disorders. This book chapter will be helpful for the researcher to concentrate on further studies and include the treatment protocol. Further studies are required to establish the safety profile in pregnancy, lactation period, and children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, no addition or deletion is required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have gone through your manuscript and found the scientific correctness, and the highlights of the manuscript are mentioned below. The clarity of the manuscript is correct, and no ambiguity was found. The data collected from reliable sources Clearly describe methods and procedures. Provided sufficient reference to support the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	This monograph providing the systematic information on safety , efficacy and quality of HerbaSideritis,This book chapter will be helpful for the researcher to concentrate on further studies and include the treatment protocol and development of new formulation and dosage forms.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	U. S. Jijith
Department, University & Country	Govt. Medical College, India