

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2037
Title of the Manuscript:	Plant Milk: A Natural Resource for Human Health
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title or topic of this manuscript is an evergreen necessity. It applies to problems being faced by humans in the meeting of their dietary needs considering the economic and health situation globally. However, all the literature sited are not recent at all and recent or almost recent references should be accessed and cited. Also, the number of literature cited is not enough and the whole write up is not lengthy enough for a book chapter but the content of the manuscript is in line with concept of the title or topic, this is good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but it can be better by using this: ‘Plant milk, a suitable dairy milk substitute for human health’	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the early part fo the abstract, the phrase should be ‘Dairy milk substitutes’ NOT Non-dairy milk substitutes. Also the statement’.....cow milk substitutes because OF (NOT TO) lactose intolerance..... Also the first keywork is wrong. It should be: Dairy milk alternative or animal-based milk alternative or substitute.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are in line with the content and title but there are grammatical and typographical errors.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There some technical errors in the expression e.g. the subject of this topic is Dairy milk alternatives or substitutes or Plant-based milk and NOT Plant-based milk substitutes or alternatives that is written in the manuscript. Please CORRECT THESE. Another very technical error is the citing of old and very old references. There are recent scientific reports that can be cited, please use these. Please let MOST of the references used be recent.Increase the length of this write up for a book chapter, let it not be less than 17 pages. Please correct all the grammatical and typographical errors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are not enough or sufficient.Also the references are too old, most of the references cited should be recent.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This is fair and should be improved upon e.g the use of ‘Plant-based milk substitutes instead of dairy milk substitutes or plant-based milk’, etc.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulina Oludoyin Adeniyi
Department, University & Country	International Institute for Policy Review and Development Strategies, Nigeria