

Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2116
Title of the Manuscript:	An influence of e-learning practices and competency in assessment on students’ academic achievement
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	E-learning is very important in the present-day world. In fact, we are switching off in the fourth industrial revolution and taking steps in the fifth industrial revolution. It reaches a wider audience without a geographical location. It enhances learning opportunities at anytime and anywhere. It reduces costs of learning, minimizes travel, accommodation, and material expenses as well. It helps the educators in the assessment of learners, which leads to their academic achievement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	E-LEARNING PRACTICES AND COMPETENCY IN ASSESSMENT ON STUDENTS’ ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is suggested that the abstract may include a few lines about the significance of the work, methodology, and the addition of one comprehensive line in the recommendations. It may be extended to two hundred and fifty words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The supporting literature is appropriate. It is suggested that a model of industrial revolutions (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, and 5.0) may be added to support e-learning.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically addressing its importance. Because the author has addressed the e-learning practices under the constructive review, All the variables are properly identified in the literature, very technically. Methodology, findings, discussion, and conclusion are clearly stated for the readers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and updated. It is suggested that the in-text citations be cross-checked with the references in the APA style of academic writing.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the manuscript is acceptable. Furthermore, it may be improved to give it a second read.	
Optional/Generalcomments	The conclusion has been written by the author in detail. It is suggested that it may be reduced.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiz Muhammad Irshadullah
Department, University & Country	Abdul Wali Khan University, Pakistan