

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2157
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of Cystic Echinococcosis in Libya: I. Seroprevalence of Hydatid Disease in Sheep and Goats Naturally Exposed to the Infection in the North Midland Region
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject is interesting and provides additional view to the subject.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	See comments below	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	As a number the references are sufficient. It would be a good practice the authors to refer and to newer researches on the subject. All the references are older than 10 years.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is suitable	
Optional/General comments	- Study area: the reasons for selecting only two districts for the research have to be specified. - Sampled animals: How the animals were selected - what criteria were used? Health status of the sampled animals – anti echinococcus vaccinations etc. What exact are the age ranges in the samples – are there any young animals below 2 months of age (possible presence of maternal antibodies) etc.? Are mentioned post-mortem sampling from infected animals – are they part of the samples described above or are a separate one. It's mentioned that 14 samples were collected from dog free environment, what housing conditions were the sampled animals?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Plamen M. Kirov
Department, University & Country	Bulgarian Academy of Science, Bulgaria