

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2157
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of Cystic Echinococcosis in Libya: I. Seroprevalence of Hydatid Disease in Sheep and Goats Naturally Exposed to the Infection in the North Midland Region
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The prevalence of Hydatidosis is common worldwide, especially in the regions which closely related to dogs. Among these countries, Libya is well concerned with this disease that constitutes a public health problem. The objective of the current research was to determine the incidence of Hydatidosis in Libya by determining seroprevalence of cystic echinococcosis in slaughter sheep and goats.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hydatidosis is still a problem of public health, especially in aereas with a high prevalence of contaminatated dogs with <i>E. granulosus</i> . In this context, the present study is very interesting and has a scientific value in the field of Hydatidosis. Moreover, the technique used to detect positive animals, is adaptated regarding the important number of screened animals. Results are well presented and discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	There are some recent studies not cited in the present work. For example : Prevalence of Hydatid Cysts in Slaughtered Animals from Different Areas of Libya. 2015. Layla O. Elmajdoub, Wahab A. Rahman. Open Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 5, 1-10. doi: 10.4236/ojvm.2015.51001 Prevalence of Hydatidosis in local sheep in Tobra city, Libya. 2022 Alagouri et al. Syrian Journal of Agricultural Research –SJAR 9(4): 184-189	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The english quality is suitable for scholarly publication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wissal Iraqi
Department, University & Country	CRMEF of Meknes, Morocco