

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2162
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential and opportunities for the development of the coconut palm industry as an alternative wood substitution material
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript covers a relevant research gap. The manuscript is well written. The author’s was able to raise constructive arguments. The paper introduces new insights that advance the research knowledge. The research objectives are well-defined and aligned.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract lacks a research design.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is a precise and lucid idea and study information expression. The article is logically constructed, with a clear introduction, parts that make sense, and a conclusion that highlights the main conclusions and their consequences. The study paper fills a research gap, which contributes significantly to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor grammar.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dickson Mdhlalose
Department, University & Country	National Electronic Media Institute of South Africa, South Africa