

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2165
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUNFLOWER GENOTYPES TO SULPHUR FERTILIZATION GROWN UNDER VEERANAM AYACUT REGIONS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It was written very well	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is perfectly suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive and well written and there is no any suggestions from my side	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is very appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its use of a factorial randomized block design with multiple replications, which enhances the reliability of the results. It evaluates various genotypes and sulphur levels, providing a comprehensive analysis of their interactions and effects. The clear differentiation in growth and yield attributes across treatments supports the validity of the findings. Overall, the thorough experimental approach and significant results contribute to the manuscript's scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes whatever the references have mentioned are sufficient for this manuscript	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No any language error. It is written in a very well way	
Optional/General comments	Written good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashok Sambhaji Dambale
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India