

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2177
Title of the Manuscript:	Six tree species physiological responses to air pollution in Pulogadung Industrial Estate, East Jakarta, Indonesia and Universitas Indonesia Campus, Depok, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is of burning issue of the day.....I liked the paper because the authors have focussed on a day to day commonly occuring and available in different locations. This study proves an outlook to local pollution level and their effect on the surroundings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yesno need to update	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The matter is all ok to be published....I don't think to amend this. Plz go ahead .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, The references are not sufficient, and up-to-date.....only use latest 5 years references.....authors are suggested to update the references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little bit,improvement of English language is needed. If Some places grammer can be improved that shall increase its readers.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No Comments.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhirendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Chaudhary Bansi Lal University, India