

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2177
Title of the Manuscript:	Six tree species physiological responses to air pollution in Pulogadung Industrial Estate, East Jakarta, Indonesia and Universitas Indonesia Campus, Depok, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study was done on air pollution, presently which is an issue that is very important to all of us, especially to environmentalists and researchers. This study examines the physiological responses of selected six different tree species to air pollution, similar type of work should be encouraged and hardy tree species that can either mitigate or reduce air pollution must be identified.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not, (A study on physiological responses of six tree species to air pollution in Pulogadung Industrial Estate, East Jakarta, Indonesia and Universitas Indonesia Campus, Depok, Indonesia)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Abstract of the article is good, included all the main points of research work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has been written correctly by adhering to the accepted process, formula, and methodology. Thus, robust, technically sound, and scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	The number of references is adequate, but it is not consistent; some references (such as 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, and 28) only provide the year of publication, while the others include both the month and year. Some references (2, 3, 5, 7, 19, 23, 24 and 25) do not include the page number. Every reference must have the same page number and publication year in the same order. Very old references must be removed such as reference no. 18 and 21.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Only the scientific names of the selected six tree species wereprovided, it would be more better if the common names of these trees were also included. Which software was used to create the research area map? This information is lacking; it needs to be added to the sections on the study area and period.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Om Pravesh Kumar Ravi
Department, University & Country	Birsa Agricultural University, College of Fisheries Science, India