

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2190
Title of the Manuscript:	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: One of the Risk Factors for Campus Bullying in Elementary and Middle School
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this article because related to the problem of bullying in schools in individuals with ADHD. I am also interested in researching the same thing. research on bullying is mostly on respondents in general, but rarely on ADHD even though based on the facts in the field that I met from the ADHD community, ADHD individuals experience discrimination and also bully from their peers or the surrounding environment. So this article is important for awareness about stop bullying for ADHD	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is necessary to write the abbreviation of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) to make it easier for readers to immediately understand that this article is about individuals with ADHD, because some readers are more familiar with ADHD than its abbreviation. the term “campus” is a school or university term, to avoid confusion you can use school bullying if it is for elementary and middle school.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is enough comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is no explanation regarding the methods used and the data collection procedures, as well as the results have not been explained in quantitative like in abstract, if there is it would be better. The impact is explained in the introduction, there is mental health and others, but why is it explained regarding the impact on mental health. If completed, it would be better.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text of this article is said to fulfill scientific principles because there is a research objective, there is an underlying theory, there are results from the research even though qualitative data has not been completed quantitatively, there is a conclusion and also a list of references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The list of references needs to be emphasized to refer to which writing so that it can be adjusted. Some writing references for journal writing are generally italicized, DSM writing is also not only written DSM but also needs to be equipped with its source. If there are sources through websites or online journals, it is also necessary to complete	

Review Form2

	the writing of the website address. but this adjusts to the writing style used.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is enough	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iriani Indri Hapsari
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia