

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2194
Title of the Manuscript:	Vocational Rehabilitation for Patients with Schizophrenia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This book chapter discusses in detail the vocational rehabilitation of patients with schizophrenia, contributing to their economic independence and integration into society by examining models and methods. The reason I appreciate this book chapter is that it details the advantages and disadvantages of different rehabilitation models, making it a valuable resource for professionals in the field	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is fully compatible with the content of the chapter	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The purpose, methodology, results, and recommendations of the research have been presented concisely; the summary is adequate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections of the text ensure a systematic presentation of the topic. The introduction, explanations of the main models, comparisons, and the conclusion section present the topic in an understandable manner. This is appropriate for a scientific text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text shares data from numerous studies to compare the advantages and disadvantages of different rehabilitation models, which enhances its scientific validity. Additionally, by providing recommendations and highlighting future research areas, the text appears technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references appear to be current and sufficient	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Technical terms are used correctly, sentence structures are clear and understandable, and the English of the text is adequate.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asli Kazgan Kilicaslan
Department, University & Country	Health Sciences University, Turkey