

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2225
Title of the Manuscript:	A Primer on Food and Water Borne Illnesses When Dining Out: A Case Study on Norovirus Outbreak on a Cruiseship
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it sheds light on the diverse and often overlooked causes of food and waterborne illnesses, particularly in unique environments like cruise ships. I appreciate how it not only explores the biological and chemical agents involved but also emphasizes the practical challenges of managing such outbreaks. The detailed case study adds a real-world context that makes the findings more relatable and useful for public health professionals. Overall, I find this manuscript valuable for both its scientific insights and its practical implications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable as it clearly indicates the focus on food and waterborne illnesses and specifically highlights a case study on a norovirus outbreak on a cruise ship.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the norovirus outbreak, its symptoms, the diagnosis, and the response	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust because it effectively combines clinical observations with comprehensive discussions on food and waterborne illnesses, making it valuable for both practical application and academic study. The case study on the norovirus outbreak is particularly well-documented, highlighting the rapid identification and containment efforts, which underscores the importance of prompt medical and public health interventions. Additionally, the manuscript's detailed exploration of various pathogens contributes to its technical soundness, providing a thorough understanding of the challenges faced in managing such outbreaks.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript provides a thorough overview of the challenges associated with food and waterborne illnesses, particularly in the context of a cruise ship outbreak. The case study is well-detailed and offers practical insights into outbreak management and containment strategies. However, the manuscript could benefit from minor revisions for clarity and conciseness in some sections. Additionally, integrating more recent references and addressing any gaps in the literature review would further enhance the manuscript's value. Overall, the topic is highly relevant and contributes meaningfully to the understanding of foodborne illness management in confined settings like cruise ships.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erhuotor Ejiro Efe
Department, University & Country	Nasarawa State University, Nigeria