

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2231
Title of the Manuscript:	The problem of rational use of mineral resources and mining waste in the context of sustainable development of regions
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Title: The problem of rational use of mineral resources and mining waste in the context of sustainable development of regions Comments: The study is related to a particular country hence the title should reflect the same. Abstract: Comments: In line 8, “their” may be replaced with “its”. Results and Discussion: This section, particularly, initial eight paras need to be relooked by the authors from grammatical and technical points of view. The data are not substantiated with the study of the author. If the data are given based on the study(ies) of other authors, the same may be quoted. The inference on quality and cost discussed in this section also needs to be relooked or substantiated with the data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Murari Soni
Department, University & Country	India