

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2231
Title of the Manuscript:	The problem of rational use of mineral resources and mining waste in the context of sustainable development of regions
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describe the rational use of mineral resources and mining waste at Ukraine properly. Other research will be benifited from the book chapter .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Methodology is not clear. Please clarify the methodology	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The book chapter fills up a significant gap in the body of literature by posing a well-defined research question. The objectives of the study can be easily and rationally deduced from the preparatory investigation.Extensive data analysis using suitable statistical or computational techniques is included in the manuscript. The results are given in a clear and concise manner, accompanied with appropriate interpretation and discussion of the analysis. The data gathered supports the results, which are in line with the hypothesis. The paper provides evidence for the validity of the results while accounting for biases and mistakes. The manuscript is written in an understandable, logical style and is well-organized. The conclusions are backed by the data, and the arguments are logical.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Reference should be update . Maximum reference are from old manuscripts	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	- Which year you calculated the waste accumulated shown in table 2 - Reference for table 2 - Check the gramertical error - Clarify the methodology	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Mehedi Hasan
Department, University & Country	Jashore University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh