

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2247
Title of the Manuscript:	Leap Motion Device Used to Control a Real Anthropomorphic Gripper
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As an expert reviewer, I thoroughly examined this paper multiple times and found the subject matter to be quite intriguing and relevant. Moreover, I discovered that this paper has exceptional writing and organization while exploring a highly intriguing methodology. Nevertheless, below are a few comments that I believe the authors should address before accepting the paper. The objective of this feedback is to enhance the quality of the paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, The title is informational and important, although it lacks appeal. I recommend enhancing the title to make it more attractive.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I thoroughly examined this paper multiple times and found the subject matter to be quite intriguing and relevant. Moreover, I discovered that this paper has exceptional writing and organization while exploring a highly intriguing methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	- It is important to review all relevant and up-to-date references. For instance, the subsequent reference is quite relevant and I suggest using it in your citation: A.H. Wheeb, “Performance Analysis of VoIP in Wireless Networks,” International Journal of Computer Networks and Wireless Communications (IJCNWC), vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1-5, 2017. https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=T80fXVAAAAAJ&citation_for_view=T80fXVAAAAAJ:Tyk-4Ss8FVUC A. H. Wheeb, R. Nordin, A. A. Samah, M. H. Alsharif, and M. A. Khan	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali H. Wheeb
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Iraq