

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2280
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATIONS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The thought purpose and structure of the article is good. On the other hand, given It is not important for the scientific community due to the low number of references. The article should be supported with more references.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate to the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. Indian agriculture is mentioned in the abstract. On the other hand, the title is artificial intelligence applications in agriculture. Any researcher who reads the abstract of the article will not find artificial intelligence applications in agriculture in India in the whole article. For this reason, the main purpose of the article should be stated exactly and the other sections should be organised accordingly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sub-sections of the article are structurally appropriate. However, the number of literatures given is not sufficient. 21 literatures are too few for a review article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific accuracy is appropriate. On the other hand, I think that the references given in the sections are few. Artificial intelligence applications cannot be fully explained and exemplified with 21 sources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient and up-to-date. There are enough resources on this subject in publications such as MDPI, scholar, elsevier.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language structure of the article is appropriate.	
Optional/Generalcomments İsteğe bağlı/Genel yorumlar	If this study is artificial intelligence applications in agriculture in India, references should be made accordingly by giving studies in that country. If it is a general compilation study, the references should be reorganised accordingly and the number should be increased and reorganised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erhan Kahya
Department, University & Country	Tekirdag Namık Kemal University, Vocational College of Technical Sciences, Turkey