

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research: New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2335
Title of the Manuscript:	The Polypill: A New Alternative In The Prevention And Treatment Of Cardiovascular Disease
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Very useful for the community.Use recent references in your manuscript Define side effect also in your manuscript Content used in manuscript was excellent,very easy understood by each and every one.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to change like remove preventive. Suggested title-Polypill:New and advance in cardiovascular disease.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Describe polypill(included in study) in details in abstract Describe CVD in details like percentage(recent data2020-2024) in world wide mortality rate,male and female,age group also specify. Specify every monotherapy pill and polypill side effect also.to specify that any advarce effect for combination pill action.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In rationale ,hyperlipidemia,hypertension along with ADD stroke,peripheral vascular disease. Current Guildline ADD on this individuals risk factor.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reframe Subsection like guidelines and drug interaction. Included pathophysiology parts also Add more content in conclusion parts also Define limitation also.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Yes reference is sufficient and recent. Correct reference number-5 according Vancouver style.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English/language quality of the article were suitable for publication and communication also. English/language quality of English is sutable to article.	
Optional/General comments	Overall good ,very impressive topic and writing also in scientific manner.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Psrekh Namrata
Department, University & Country	Aims College of Physiotherapy, Maharastra University of Health Science, India