

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2369
Title of the Manuscript:	Colocasia xui (Araceae), a new species from Tibet, China
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as it has highlighted new species to the scientific community. Please address following points. Author should mention importance of the species to the local community, are local community is using it as vegetable, fodder or herbal medicine ? Is the species has any ornamental potential for its foliage or spathe which may be promoted near future for economic benefit? Is the species has ecological importance to the surrounding environment (soil binding, feed for insects etc.).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sub sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are sufficient. Please mentionAra, H. (2018) reference in the running text. This reference mentioned in the reference section but missing in the running text.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Following corrections are required in the manuscript 1. In the Key word section incorporate <i>Colocasias xui</i> 2. Write GPS location under Type section, 3. Please mention why (Type recorded in 2003) after 21 years it was considered as new species any specific reason 4. Please mention fruiting time under phenology 5. What are the other surrounding plants recorded under distribution and habitat 6. How many population pockets of <i>Colocasias xui</i> recorded in the study sites 7. Please make a table for all the allied species <i>C. fallax</i> Schott, <i>C. kachinensis</i> S.S. Zhou & J.T. Yin, and <i>C. menglaensis</i> and mention all the important distinct characters of these species with <i>Colocasias xui</i> 8. Write Acknowledgement in the manuscript it is missing Language is clear and easily understable to the scientific community	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is suitable for publication after addressing the above points	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Kar
Department, University & Country	The Energy and Resources Institute, India