Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_2386**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Proof of the Twin Prime Conjecture**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript is very significant. It talks about proof of twin prime conjecture. This conjecture is yet unproven. Although the approach presented here to prove this conjecture is based on simple observations yet the conclusions are remarkable.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**No. As the abstract itself claims to talk about twin primes as well as about primes at a distance of four integers; the title must also include four-twins. A more appropriate title would be 'A Proof of the twin-prime conjecture along with four-twins'.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes. It is suggested that abstract mentions about what is done in this manuscript rather than mentioning what method is NOT used in this manuscript. It mentions 'We don't use the methods of analytic number theory'. This statement may be deleted, if author/s also think the same.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes, they are appropriate.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Logic presented in the manuscript is based on observations and patterns among integers. A pattern observed within a finite number of integers can not be extrapolated as being representative for all the integers. In this sense, the facts presented in the manuscript demands rigor and specific examples may not be considered as general proof.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Khare, M., &Chitta, K. (2023). A logical approach to validate the Goldbach conjecture: Part I. *Journal of AppliedMath*, *1*(4), 192. https://doi.org/10.59400/jam.v1i4.192 Khare, M.; Chitta, K. Identification of Composite Combinations: Key to Validate Goldbach Conjecture. Preprints 2020, 2020110593. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202011.0593.v2 Khare, M.; Chitta, K. Successive Addition of Digits of an Integer Number: On Properties and Role in Studying Distribution of Primes. Preprints 2020, 2020060276. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202006.0276.v2	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	English language quality of manuscript is suitable.	
Optional/Generalcomments	The suggested references encompass the approach discussed in this manuscript. Author/s are suggested to recheck suggested references.	

PART_2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Manish Khare**
Department, University & Country	**School of Humanities and Engineering Sciences, MIT Academy of Engineering, India**